

EXPLICIT VERSIONS OF THE BRIANÇON-SKODA THEOREM WITH VARIATIONS

MATS ANDERSSON

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\phi, f_1, \dots, f_m$ be holomorphic functions in a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$. The Briançon-Skoda theorem, [9], states that $\phi^{\min(n,m)}$ belongs to the ideal (f) generated by f_j if $|\phi| \leq C|f|$. This condition is equivalent to that ϕ belongs to the integral closure of the ideal (f) . The original proof is based on Skoda's L^2 -estimates in [20], see Remark 1 below, and actually gives the stronger statement that $\phi \in (f)$ if $|\phi| \leq C|f|^{\min(n,m)}$. An explicit proof based on Berndtsson's division formula, [8], and multivariable residue calculus appeared in [7], see also [14] for a special case. There are purely algebraic versions in more arbitrary rings due to Lipman and Teissier, [17].

In general this result cannot be improved but for certain tuples f_j a much weaker size condition on ϕ is enough to guarantee that ϕ belongs to (f) . For instance, the ideal $(f)^2$ is generated by the $m(m+1)/2$ functions $g_{jk} = f_j f_k$, and $|f|^2 \sim |g|$, so if we apply the previous result we get that $\phi \in (f)^2$ if $|\phi| \leq C|f|^{\min(2n, m(m+1))}$. However, in this case actually the power $\min(n, m) + 1$ is enough. In general we have

Theorem 1.1 (Briançon-Skoda). *If $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ and ϕ are holomorphic at 0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $|\phi| \leq C|f|^{\min(m,n)+r-1}$, then $\phi \in (f)^r$.*

This more general formulation follows in a similar way as the case $r = 1$ by L^2 -methods as well as by a (small modification of) the argument in [7]. In [1] we gave a somewhat different proof of the case $r = 1$ by means of residue calculus and in this note we extend this method to get various related for product ideals, as well as the general case of Theorem 1.1. In the first one we consider several possibly different tuples.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f_j, j = 1, \dots, r$, be m_j -tuples of holomorphic functions at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and assume that*

$$|\phi| \leq C|f_1|^{s_1} \cdots |f_r|^{s_r}$$

for all s such that $s_1 + \cdots + s_r \leq n + r - 1$ and $1 \leq s_j \leq m_j$. Then $\phi \in (f_1) \cdots (f_r)$.

Date: February 1, 2008.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32A26, 32A27, 32B10.

The author was partially supported by the Swedish Research Council.

Notice that this immediately implies Theorem 1.1 in the case $m \geq n$ by just choosing all $f_j = f$. In certain cases Theorem 1.2 can be improved, as one can see by taking $f_j = f$ and $m < n$ and compare with Theorem 1.1. Another case is when all the functions in the various tuples f_j together form a regular sequence.

Theorem 1.3. *Let f_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$, be m_j -tuples of holomorphic functions at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and assume that the codimension of $\{f_1 = \dots = f_r = 0\}$ is $m_1 + \dots + m_r$. If*

$$|\phi| \leq C \min(|f_1|^{m_1}, \dots, |f_r|^{m_r}),$$

then $\phi \in (f_1) \cdots (f_r)$.

We have not seen these two latter results in the literature although they might belong to the folklore. In the algebraic setting there are several results related to the Briançon-Skoda (and Lipman-Teissier) theorem, see, e.g., [22] and [16] and the references given there.

Remark 1. The Briançon-Skoda theorem follows by direct application of Skoda's L^2 -estimate, [20] and [21], if $m \leq n$. In fact, if ψ is any plurisubharmonic function, the L^2 -estimate guarantees a holomorphic solution to $f \cdot u = \phi$ such that

$$\int_{X \setminus Z} \frac{|u|^2}{|f|^{2(\min(m, n+1)-1+\epsilon)}} e^{-\psi} dV < \infty$$

provided that

$$\int_{X \setminus Z} \frac{|\phi|^2}{|f|^{2(\min(m, n+1)+\epsilon)}} e^{-\psi} dV < \infty.$$

If $|\phi| \leq C|f|^m$, the second integral is finite (taking $\psi = 0$) if ϵ is small enough, and thus Skoda's theorem provides the desired solution. The case when $r > 1$ is obtained by iteration. If $m > n$ a direct use of the L^2 -estimate will not give the desired result. However, see [11], in this case one can find an n -tuple $\tilde{f}$ such that $(\tilde{f}) \subset (f)$ and $|\tilde{f}| \sim |f|$, and the theorem then follows by applying the L^2 -estimate to the tuple f' .

In the same way, Theorem 1.2 can easily be proved from the L^2 -estimate if $m_1 + \dots + m_r \leq n + r - 1$. To see this, assume for simplicity that $r = 2$, and that $|\phi| \leq C|f_1|^{m_1}|f_2|^{m_2}$. Choosing $\psi = 2(m_1 + \epsilon) \log |f_1|$, Skoda's theorem gives a solution to $f_2 \cdot u = \phi$ such that

$$\int_{X \setminus Z} \frac{|u|^2}{|f_1|^{2(m_1+\epsilon)}} dV < \infty.$$

Another application then gives v_j such that $f_1 \cdot v_j = u_j$. This means that ϕ belongs to $(f_1)(f_2)$. However, we do not know whether one can derive Theorem 1.3 from the L^2 -estimate when $m_1 + \dots + m_r > n + r - 1$. $\square$

Now consider an $r \times m$ matrix f_j^k of holomorphic functions, $r \leq m$, with rows $f_1, \dots, f_r$. We let F be the $m!/(m-r)!r!$ tuple of functions $\det(f_j^{I_k})$ for increasing multiindices I of length r . We will refer to F as the determinant of f . If f_j are the rows of the matrix, considered as sections of the trivial bundle E^* , then F is just the section $f_r \wedge \dots \wedge f_1$ of the bundle $\Lambda^r E^*$. Our next result is a Briançon-Skoda type result for the tuple F . It turns out that it is enough with a much less power than $m!/(m-r)!r!$. Let Z be the zero set of F and notice that $\text{codim } Z \leq m - r + 1$; this is easily seen by Gauss elimination.

Theorem 1.4. *Let F be the determinant of the holomorphic matrix f as above. If*

$$|\phi| \leq C|F|^{\min(n, m-r+1)},$$

then $\phi \in (F)$.

Remark 2. This result is closely related to the following statement which was proved in [3]. *Suppose that ϕ is an r -tuple of holomorphic functions and let $\|\phi\|$ be the pointwise norm induced by f , i.e., $\|\phi\| = \det(ff^*) \langle (ff^*)^{-1} \phi, \phi \rangle$. If*

$$\|\phi\| \lesssim |F|^{\min(n, m-r+1)},$$

then $f\psi = \phi$ has a local holomorphic solution. $\square$

Remark 3. Another related situation is when f is a section of a bundle E^* , ϕ takes values in $\Lambda^\ell E$, and we ask for a holomorphic section ψ of $\Lambda^{\ell+1} E$ such that $\delta_f \psi = \phi$, provided that the necessary compatibility condition $\delta_f \phi = 0$ is fulfilled. Let $p = \text{codim } \{f = 0\}$. Then a sufficient condition is that

$$|\phi| \leq C|f|^{\min(n, m-\ell)}$$

if $\ell \leq m - p$, whereas there is no condition at all if $\ell > m - p$, see Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 and Corollary 1.5 in [1]. $\square$

Theorem 1.4 is proved by constructing a certain residue current R with support on the analytic set Z , such that $R\phi = 0$ implies that ϕ belongs to the ideal (F) locally. The size conditions of ϕ then implies that $R\phi = 0$ by brutal force, see Theorem 2.3 below. There may be more subtle reasons for annihilation. For instance, in the generic case, i.e., when $\text{codim } Z = m - r + 1$, even the converse statement holds; if ϕ is in the ideal (F) then actually $R\phi = 0$, see Theorem 2.3 (iv). The analogous statement also holds for the equation $f\psi = \phi$ in Remark 2, see [3]. These results are therefore extensions of the well-known duality theorem of Dickenstein-Sessa and Passare, [12] and [18], stating that if f is a tuple that defines a complete intersection, i.e., $\text{codim } \{f = 0\} = m$, then $\phi \in (f)$ if and only if ϕ annihilates the Coleff-Herrera current defined by f . For the analysis of the residue current R we use the basic tools developed in [5], [7], [19], and [6], i.e., resolution of singularities by Hironaka's theorem followed by a toric resolution. Theorems 1.2

and 1.3 (as well as Theorem 1.1) are obtained along the same lines, in Section 3, by analysis of special choices of matrix f . It might happen that there are some similarities with the methods used here and the algebraic methods introduced in [13].

By means of the new construction in [4] of division formulas we get, for a given holomorphic function ϕ , a holomorphic decomposition

$$(1.1) \quad \phi = T\phi + S\phi,$$

such that $T\phi$ belongs to the determinant ideal (F) , and $S\phi$ vanishes as soon as ϕ annihilates the residue current R . In particular, this gives an explicit proof of Theorem 1.4, and it leads to explicit proofs of Theorems 1.1 to 1.3 as well.

Acknowledgement I am grateful to Alain Yger as well as the referee for several important remarks on a previous version.

2. THE IDEAL GENERATED BY THE DETERMINANT SECTION

Although we are mainly interested in local results in this paper it is convenient to adopt an invariant perspective. We therefore assume that we have Hermitian vector bundles E and Q of ranks m and $r \leq m$, respectively, over a complex n -dimensional manifold X , and a holomorphic morphism $f: E \rightarrow Q$. We also assume that f is generically surjective, i.e., that the analytic set Z where f is not surjective has at least codimension 1. If ϵ_j is a local holomorphic frame for Q , then $f = f_1 \otimes \epsilon_1 + \dots + f_r \otimes \epsilon_r$, where f_j are sections of the dual bundle E^* . Moreover, $F = f_r \wedge \dots \wedge f_1 \otimes \epsilon_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \epsilon_r$ is an invariantly defined section of $\Lambda^r E^* \otimes \det Q^*$ that we will call the determinant section associated with f . Notice that if e_j is a local frame for E with dual frame e_j^* for E^* , then $f_j = \sum_1^m f_j^k e_k^*$, and

$$F = \sum_{|I|=r} F_I e_{I_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{I_r}^*,$$

where the sum runs over increasing multiindices I and $F_I = \det(F_j^{I_k})$. Let $S^\ell Q^*$ be the subbundle of $(Q^*)^{\otimes \ell}$ consisting of symmetric tensors. We introduce the complex

$$(2.1) \quad \dots \xrightarrow{\delta_f} \Lambda^{r+k-1} E \otimes S^{k-1} Q^* \otimes \det Q^* \xrightarrow{\delta_f} \dots \\ \xrightarrow{\delta_f} \Lambda^{r+1} E \otimes Q^* \otimes \det Q^* \xrightarrow{\delta_f} \Lambda^r E \otimes \det Q^* \xrightarrow{\delta_F} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\delta_f = \sum_j \delta_{f_j} \otimes \delta_{\epsilon_j},$$

δ_{f_j} and δ_{ϵ_j} denote interior multiplication on ΛE and from the left on $SQ^* \otimes \det Q^*$, respectively, and

$$\delta_F = \delta_f^r / r! = \delta_{f_r} \cdots \delta_{f_1} \otimes \delta_{\epsilon_1} \cdots \delta_{\epsilon_r}.$$

It is readily checked that (2.1) actually is a complex. Notice that if $r = 1$, then (2.1) is the usual Koszul complex.

In $X \setminus Z$ we let σ_j be the sections of E with minimal norms such that $f_k \sigma_j = \delta_{jk}$. Then $\sigma = \sigma_1 \otimes \epsilon_1^* + \cdots + \sigma_r \otimes \epsilon_r^*$ is the section of $\text{Hom}(Q, E)$ such that, for each section ϕ of Q , $v = \sigma\phi$ is the solution to $f v = \phi$ with pointwise minimal norm. We also have the invariantly defined section

$$\sigma = \sigma_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \sigma_r \otimes \epsilon_r^* \wedge \cdots \wedge \epsilon_1^*$$

of $\Lambda E \otimes \det Q^*$, which in fact is the section with minimal norm such that $F\sigma = 1$, see, e.g., [3].

Example 1. Assume that E and Q are trivial and let ϵ_j be an ON-frame for Q and e_j an ON-frame for E , with dual frame e_j^* . If $F = \sum'_{|I|=r} F_I e_{I_1}^* \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{I_r}^*$ as above, then

$$\sigma = \sum'_{|I|=r} \frac{\bar{F}_I}{|F|^2} e_{I_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{I_r}.$$

□

We will consider $(0, q)$ -forms with values in $\Lambda^{r+k-1} E \otimes S^{k-1} Q^* \otimes \det Q^*$, and it is convenient to consider them as sections of $\Lambda^{r+k+q-1}(E \oplus T_{0,1}^*(X)) \otimes S^{k-1} Q^* \otimes \det Q^*$, so that δ_f anti-commutes with $\bar{\partial}$, and $\delta_F \bar{\partial} = (-1)^r \delta_F \bar{\partial}$. In what follows we let $\otimes$ denote usual tensor product all Q^* -factors, and wedge product of $\Lambda(E \oplus T_{0,1}^*(X))$ -factors. Thus for instance

$$\sigma \otimes \sigma = \left(\sum_1^r \sigma_j \otimes \epsilon_j^* \right) \otimes (\sigma_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \sigma_r \otimes \epsilon_1^* \wedge \cdots \wedge \epsilon_r^*) = 0.$$

Moreover, for each $k \geq 1$, $(\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{\otimes(k-1)}$ is a symmetric tensor; more precisely,

$$(2.2) \quad (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{\otimes(k-1)} = \sum_{|\alpha|=k-1} (\bar{\partial}\sigma_1)^{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma_r)^{\alpha_r} \otimes \epsilon_\alpha^*,$$

where $\epsilon_\alpha^* = (\epsilon_1^*)^{\alpha_1} \dot{\otimes} \cdots \dot{\otimes} (\epsilon_r^*)^{\alpha_r} / \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_r!$, and $\dot{\otimes}$ denotes symmetric tensor product. For each $k \geq 1$ we define in $X \setminus Z$ the $(0, k-1)$ -forms

$$(2.3) \quad u_k = (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \sigma = \sigma_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \sigma_r \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \epsilon^*$$

(where $\epsilon^* = \epsilon_r^* \wedge \cdots \wedge \epsilon_1^*$), with values in $\Lambda^{r+k-1} E \otimes S^{k-1} Q^* \otimes \det Q^*$.

Proposition 2.1. *In $X \setminus Z$ we have that*

$$(2.4) \quad \delta_F u_1 = 1, \quad \delta_f u_{k+1} = \bar{\partial} u_k, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Proof. Since δ_{ϵ_j} act from the left, and $\delta_{f_j}\bar{\partial}\sigma_\ell = 0$ for all ℓ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_f u_{k+1} &= \delta_f [\sigma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma_r \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{\otimes k} \otimes \epsilon^*] = \\ &= \delta_f [\sigma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma_r \wedge \bar{\partial}\sigma] \otimes (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \epsilon^* = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r \delta_{f_j} (\sigma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma_r) \wedge \bar{\partial}\sigma_j \otimes (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \epsilon^* = \\ &= \bar{\partial}(\sigma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma_r) \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \epsilon^* = \bar{\partial}u_k.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta_F u_1 = F\sigma = 1$, the proposition is proved. $\square$

If we let $u = u_1 + u_2 + \dots$, and let δ denote either δ_f or δ_F , then (2.4) can be written as $(\delta - \bar{\partial})u = 1$. To analyze the singularities of u at Z we will use the following lemma (Lemma 4.1) from [3].

Lemma 2.2. *If $F = F_0 F'$ for some holomorphic function F_0 and non-vanishing holomorphic section F' , then*

$$s' = F_0 \sigma, \quad S' = F_0 \sigma$$

are smooth across Z .

Notice that $|F|^{2\lambda}u$ and $\bar{\partial}|F|^{2\lambda}\wedge u$ are well-defined forms in X for $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$.

Theorem 2.3. *(i) The forms $|F|^{2\lambda}u$ and $\bar{\partial}|F|^{2\lambda}\wedge u$ have analytic continuations as currents in X to $\text{Re } \lambda > -\epsilon$. If $U = |F|^{2\lambda}u|_{\lambda=0}$ and $R = \bar{\partial}|F|^{2\lambda}\wedge u|_{\lambda=0}$, then*

$$(\delta - \bar{\partial})U = 1 - R.$$

(ii) The current R has support on Z and $R = R_p + \dots + R_\mu$, where $p = \text{codim } Z$ and $\mu = \min(n, m - r + 1)$.

(iii) If ϕ is a holomorphic function and $R\phi = 0$, then locally $F\Psi = \phi$ has holomorphic solutions.

(iv) If $\text{codim } Z = m - r + 1$ and $F\Psi = \phi$ has a holomorphic solution, then $R\phi = R_{m-r+1}\phi = 0$.

(v) If $|\phi| \leq C|F|^\mu$, then $R\phi = 0$.

Here, of course, $R_k = \bar{\partial}|F|^{2\lambda}\wedge u_k|_{\lambda=0}$ is the component of R which is a $(0, k)$ -current with values in $\Lambda^{r+k-1}E \otimes S^{k-1}Q^* \otimes \det Q^*$.

Proof. In the case $r = 1$, this theorem is contained in Theorems 1.1 to 1.4 in [1], and most parts of the proof are completely analogous. Therefore we just point out the necessary modifications. By Hironaka's theorem and a further toric resolution, following the technique developed in [5] and [19], we may assume that locally $F = F_0 F'$ as in Lemma 2.2. Since moreover $\sigma \otimes \sigma = 0$, we have then that locally in the resolution

$$u_k = \frac{(\bar{\partial}s')^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes S'}{F_0^k}.$$

It is then easy to see that the proposed analytic extensions exist and we have that

$$(2.5) \quad U_k = \left[\frac{1}{F_0^k} \right] (\bar{\partial}s')^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes S',$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad R_k = \bar{\partial} \left[\frac{1}{F_0^k} \right] \wedge (\bar{\partial}s')^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes S',$$

where $[1/F_0^k]$ is the usual principal value current. If $R\phi = 0$, then $(\delta - \bar{\partial})U\phi = \phi$, and hence by successively solving the $\bar{\partial}$ -equations $\bar{\partial}w_k = U_k\phi + \delta w_{k+1}$, we finally get the holomorphic solution $\Psi = U_1\phi + \delta w_2$. All parts but (iv) now follow in a similar way as in [1]. Notice in particular, that $k \leq \min(n, m - r + 1)$ in (2.6) for degree reasons, so that $R\phi = 0$ if the hypothesis in (v) is satisfied. As for (iv), let us assume that we have a holomorphic section Ψ of $\Lambda^r E \otimes \det Q^*$ such that $F\Psi = \phi$. If $\Psi = \psi \otimes \epsilon_*$, then $F\Psi = \delta_{f_r} \cdots \delta_{f_1} \psi$. Since u_{m-r+1} has full degree in e_j we have that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{m-r+1}\phi &= \phi\sigma_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \sigma_r \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{\otimes(m-r)} \otimes \epsilon^* = \\ &= (\delta_{f_r} \cdots \delta_{f_1} \psi)\sigma_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \sigma_r \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{\otimes(m-r)} \otimes \epsilon^* = \\ &= \psi \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{\otimes(m-r)} \otimes \epsilon^*|_{\lambda=0} = \\ &= (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{\otimes(m-r)} \otimes \Psi = \bar{\partial}(\sigma \otimes (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{\otimes(m-r+1)}) \otimes \Psi = \bar{\partial}u'_{m-r} \otimes \Psi. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{codim } Z = m - r + 1$ we have that $R = R_{m-r+1}$ according to part (ii), so

$$R\phi = R_{m-r+1}\phi = \bar{\partial}|F|^{2\lambda} \wedge u_{m-r+1}\phi|_{\lambda=0} = -\bar{\partial}(\bar{\partial}|F|^{2\lambda} \wedge u'_{m-r} \otimes \Psi|_{\lambda=0}).$$

However,

$$\bar{\partial}|F|^{2\lambda} \wedge u'_{m-r} \otimes \Psi|_{\lambda=0}$$

vanishes for degree reasons, precisely in the same way as R_k vanishes for $k \leq m - r$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. If we consider the matrix f as a morphism $E \rightarrow Q$, for trivial bundles E and Q , the theorem immediately follows from parts (v) and (iii) of Theorem 2.3. $\square$

Remark 4. As we have seen, the reason for the power $m - r + 1$ in Theorem 1.4 (and in part (v) of Theorem 2.3) when n is large, is that the complex (2.1) terminates at $k = m - r + 1$. If one tries to analyze the section F by means of the usual Koszul complex with respect to the basis $(e_I)'_{|I|=r}$, then one could hope that for some miraculous reason the corresponding forms u_k would vanish when $k > m - r + 1$, although one has $m!/(m - r)!r!$ dimensions (basis elements). However, this is not the case in general. Take for instance the simplest non-trivial case, $m = 3$ and $r = 2$, and choose $f_1 = (1, 0, \xi_1)$, $f_2 = (0, 1, \xi_2)$ and choose

the trivial metric. Then $F_{12} = 1$, $F_{13} = \xi_2$, $F_{23} = \xi_1$, and $\sigma = \bar{F}/|F|^2$, so that

$$\sigma_{12} = \frac{1}{1 + |\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2}, \sigma_{13} = \frac{\bar{\xi}_2}{1 + |\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2}, \quad \sigma_{23} = \frac{\bar{\xi}_1}{1 + |\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2}.$$

Now $m - r + 1 = 2$, but if we form the usual Koszul complex, with say that basis $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$, so that

$$\sigma = \sigma_{12}\epsilon_1 + \sigma_{13}\epsilon_2 + \sigma_{23}\epsilon_3 = \frac{1}{|F|^2}(\epsilon_1 + \bar{\xi}_2\epsilon_2 + \bar{\xi}_1\epsilon_3),$$

we have

$$\sigma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^2 = \frac{2}{|F|^6} d\bar{\xi}_1 \wedge d\bar{\xi}_2 \wedge \epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2 \wedge \epsilon_3,$$

and this form is not zero. To get an example where Z is non-empty, one can multiply f with a function f_0 . $\square$

3. PRODUCTS OF IDEALS

For $j = 1, \dots, r$, let $E_j \rightarrow X$ be a Hermitian vector bundle of rank m_j and let f_j be a section of E_j^* . Moreover, let $E = \oplus_1^r E_j$ and let $Q \simeq \mathbb{C}^r$ with ON -basis $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_r$. If we consider f_j as sections of E , then $f = \sum_1^r f_j \otimes \epsilon_j$ is a morphism $E \rightarrow Q$. Moreover, $F\Psi = \phi$ with $\Psi = \psi \otimes \epsilon^*$ as before, means that $\delta_{f_r} \cdots \delta_{f_1} \psi = \phi$, and hence that ϕ belongs to the product ideal $(f_1) \cdots (f_r)$. To obtain such a solution Ψ we proceed as in the previous section. Notice that now σ_j can be identified with the section of E_j with minimal norm such that $f_j \sigma_j = 1$. Moreover, $|F| = |f_1| \cdots |f_r|$. In this case we therefore have

$$R_k = \bar{\partial}|F|^{2\lambda} \wedge u_k = \bar{\partial}(|f_1|^{2\lambda} \cdots |f_r|^{2\lambda}) \wedge \sigma_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \sigma_r \wedge \sum_{|\alpha|=k-1} (\bar{\partial}\sigma_1)^{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma_r)^{\alpha_r} \otimes \epsilon_\alpha^* \otimes \epsilon^*|_{\lambda=0}.$$

For degree reasons R_k will vanish unless

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \leq \alpha_j \leq m_j - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r \leq n - 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Consider the tuples f_j as sections of E_j . For each j , let e_{ji} , $i = 1, \dots, m_j$, be a local frame for E_j so that $f_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m_j} f_j^i e_{ji}^*$. After a suitable resolution as before we may assume that $f_1 = f_1^0 f_1'$, where f_1^0 is holomorphic and f_1' is a nonvanishing section of E_1^* . After a further resolution we may assume as well that $f_2 = f_2^0 f_2'$ etc. Finally, therefore, we may assume that, for each j , $f_j = f_j^0 f_j'$, where f_j^0 is holomorphic, and f_j' is a non-vanishing section of E_j^* . Therefore, R_k is a sum of terms like

$$\bar{\partial}(|f_1^0|^{2\lambda} \cdots |f_r^0|^{2\lambda} v^\lambda) \wedge \frac{\beta}{(f_1^0)^{\alpha_1+1} \cdots (f_r^0)^{\alpha_r+1}} \Big|_{\lambda=0},$$

where v is smooth and non-vanishing. By the same argument as before this current is annihilated by ϕ if $|\phi| \leq C|f_1|^{\alpha_1+1} \cdots |f_r|^{\alpha_r+1}$, and in

view of (3.1) and the hypothesis in the theorem, taking $s_j = \alpha_j + 1$, therefore ϕ annihilates R . It now follows from Theorem 2.3 (iii) that $F\Psi = \phi$ has a holomorphic solution, and thus $\psi \in (f_1) \cdots (f_r)$. $\square$

We can also easily obtain the Briançon-Skoda theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that the tuple $f = (f^1, \dots, f^m)$ is given. Choose disjoint isomorphic bundles $E_j \simeq \mathbb{C}^m$ with isomorphic bases e_{ji} , and let $f_j = \sum_{i=1}^m f^i e_{ji}^*$. Outside $Z = \{f = 0\}$ we have $\sigma_j = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma^i e_{ji}$. Now $\bar{\partial}\sigma^i$ are linearly dependent, since $\sum_{i=1}^m f^i \bar{\partial}\sigma^i = \bar{\partial} \sum_{i=1}^m f^i \sigma^i = \bar{\partial} 1 = 0$. Thus the form u_k must vanish if $k-1 > m-1$, and therefore R_k vanishes unless $k \leq \min(n, m)$. Since $|f_j| = |f|$, locally in the resolution, we have

$$R_k = \bar{\partial}|f|^{2r\lambda} \wedge \frac{\beta}{(f^0)^{k+r-1}} \Big|_{\lambda=0},$$

and hence it is annihilated by ϕ if $|\phi| \leq C|f|^{\min(m,n)+r-1}$. $\square$

It remains to consider the case when the f_j together define a complete intersection. The proof is very much inspired by similar proofs in [24].

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We now assume that $\text{codim} \{f_1 = \dots = f_r = 0\} = m_1 + \dots + m_r$. In particular, $m_1 + \dots + m_r \leq n$. Let ξ be a test form times ϕ . If the support is small enough, after a resolution of singularities and further localization, $R.\xi$ becomes a sum of terms, the worst of which are like

$$\int \bar{\partial}(|f_1^0|^{2\lambda} \cdots |f_r^0|^{2\lambda}) \wedge \frac{s'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s'_r \wedge (\bar{\partial}s'_1)^{m_1-1} \wedge \dots \wedge (\bar{\partial}s'_r)^{m_r-1} \wedge \tilde{\xi} \rho}{(f_1^0)^{m_1} \cdots (f_r^0)^{m_r}} \Big|_{\lambda=0},$$

where $\tilde{\xi}$ is the pull-back of ξ and ρ is a cut-off function in the resolution. We may assume that each f_j^0 is a monomial times a non-vanishing factor in a local coordinate system τ_k . Let τ be one of the coordinate factors in, say, f_1 (with order ℓ), and consider the integral that appears when $\bar{\partial}$ falls on $|\tau^\ell|^{2\lambda}$. If τ does not occur in any other f_j^0 , then the assumption $|\phi| \leq C|f_1|^{m_1}$ implies that $\tilde{\phi}$ is divisible by $\tau^{\ell m_1}$. Hence $\tilde{\phi}$ and therefore also ξ annihilates the singularity as before, so that the integral vanishes. We now claim that if, on the other hand, τ occurs in some of the other factors, then the integral vanishes because of the complete intersection assumption. Thus let us assume that τ occurs in $f_2^0, \dots, f_k^0$ but not in $f_{k+1}^0, \dots, f_r^0$. The forms $s_j = |f_j|^2 \sigma_j$ are smooth and, moreover,

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{s'_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge s'_r \wedge (\bar{\partial}s'_{k+1})^{m_{k+1}-1} \wedge \dots \wedge (\bar{\partial}s'_r)^{m_r-1} \wedge \tilde{\xi}}{(f_{k+1}^0)^{m_{k+1}} \cdots (f_r^0)^{m_r}}$$

is the pull-back of

$$\gamma = \frac{s_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge s_r \wedge (\bar{\partial}s_{k+1})^{m_{k+1}-1} \wedge \dots \wedge (\bar{\partial}s_r)^{m_r-1} \wedge \xi}{|f_{k+1}|^{2m_{k+1}} \cdots |f_r^0|^{2m_r}}.$$

Since the form γ has codegree $1 + (m_1 - 1) + \cdots + (m_k - 1)$ in $d\bar{z}$, which is strictly less than $m_1 + \cdots + m_k = \text{codim} \{f_1 = \cdots = f_k = 0\}$, the anti-holomorphic factor of the denominator vanishes on $\{f_1 = \cdots = f_k = 0\}$. Therefore, each term of its pull-back vanishes where $\tau = 0$, so it must contain either a factor $\bar{\tau}$ or $d\bar{\tau}$. However, because of the assumption, the (pull-back) of the denominator contains no factor $\bar{\tau}$, so each term of $\tilde{\gamma}$ will contain $\bar{\tau}$ or $d\bar{\tau}$. Therefore, the integral that appears when $\bar{\partial}$ falls on $|\tau|^{2\lambda\ell}$ will vanish when $\lambda = 0$. $\square$

4. EXPLICIT INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION

We are now going to supply explicit proofs of Theorems 1.1 to 1.4. Since all of them are local, we may assume, using the notation from Section 2, that $f: E \rightarrow Q$ and the function ϕ are holomorphic in a convex neighborhood X of the closure of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Moreover, we fix global holomorphic frames e_j and ϵ_k for E and Q respectively, and use the trivial metric with respect to these frames.

To give a hint of how the formulas are built up, first suppose that f is a function in the unit disk with no zeros on the unit circle, i.e., $n = r = m = 1$. The construction of the representation (1.1) is a generalization of the simple one-variable formula

$$(4.1) \quad \phi(z) = f(z) \int_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{1}{f(\zeta)} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - z} \phi(\zeta) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|<1} \bar{\partial} \frac{1}{f} \wedge h(\zeta, z) \phi(\zeta),$$

where $h = (f(\zeta) - f(z))d\zeta/(\zeta - z)2\pi i$, which follows directly from Cauchy's integral formula. Notice that the second term vanishes as soon as ϕ annihilates the residue $R = \bar{\partial}(1/f)$. Moreover, for an arbitrary holomorphic function ϕ , this term interpolates ϕ at each zero of f up to the order of the zero. If the order is one this follows immediately from the simple observation that $\bar{\partial}(1/f) \wedge df/2\pi i$ is the point mass at the zero.

Turning our attention to the general case, one can verify that if we in $R = \bar{\partial}|f|^{2\lambda} \wedge u|_{\lambda=0}$ contract each $\sigma = \sum \sigma_j \otimes \epsilon_j$ with $\sum df_j \otimes \epsilon_j^*$, and contract σ with dF , we get a d -closed $(*, *)$ -current of order zero, which in some sense generalizes the Lelong current over Z ; see [2] for the case when $r = 1$. The recipe to obtain a division-interpolation formula like (1.1) (and (4.1)) is to replace the differentials by Hefer forms, and finally multiply by a Cauchy type form. The idea is developed in a quite general setting in [4] so we only sketch our special situation here.

For fixed $z \in X$, let δ_η denote interior multiplication with the vector field $2\pi i \sum (\zeta_j - z_j)(\partial/\partial \zeta_j)$, and let $\nabla_\eta = \delta_\eta - \bar{\partial}$. Moreover, let χ be a cutoff function in X that is identically 1 in a neighborhood of $\bar{\mathbb{B}}$ and let

$$s(\zeta, z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial |\zeta|^2}{|\zeta|^2 - \bar{\zeta} \cdot z}.$$

Then for each $z \in \mathbb{B}$, see [4],

$$(4.2) \quad g = \chi - \bar{\partial}\chi \wedge \frac{s}{\nabla_\eta s} = \chi - \bar{\partial}\chi \wedge \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k} \frac{\partial|\zeta|^2 \wedge (\bar{\partial}\partial|\zeta|^2)^{k-1}}{(|\zeta|^2 - \bar{\zeta} \cdot z)^k}$$

a compactly supported ∇_η -closed form such that (lower indices denote bidegree) $g_{0,0}(z) = 1$. Moreover, g depends holomorphically on z .

We then choose holomorphic $(1, 0)$ -forms h_j in X (Hefer forms) such that $\delta_\eta h_j = f_j(\zeta) - f_j(z)$, and let $h = \sum_1^m h_j \otimes \epsilon_j^*$. We may also assume that h_j , and hence h , depend holomorphically on the parameter z . Now $\delta_h: E_{k+1} \rightarrow E_k$, for $k \geq 1$, and hence $(\delta_h)_k: E_{k+1} \rightarrow E_1$, $k \geq 0$, if $(\delta_h)_\ell = \delta_h^\ell / \ell!$. It is easily seen that

$$(4.3) \quad \delta_\eta(\delta_h)_k = (\delta_h)_{k-1} \delta_f - \delta_{f(z)}(\delta_h)_{k-1}.$$

So far δ_F has only acted on $(0, 0)$ -forms with values in $\Lambda^r E$. We now extend it to general (p, q) -forms, with the convention that one insert a minus sign when $p + q$ is odd. Thus we let

$$\delta_F \alpha = (-1)^{(r+1)(\deg \alpha + 1)} \delta_{f_r} \cdots \delta_{f_1} \otimes \delta_{\epsilon_1} \cdots \delta_{\epsilon_r},$$

where $\deg \alpha$ is the degree of α in $\Lambda(E \otimes T^*(X))$. With this convention δ_F , as well as δ_f , will anti-commute with $\bar{\partial}$ and δ_η . It is possible, see [4] to find explicit holomorphic $(1, 0)$ -form-valued mappings $H_k^0: E_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (depending holomorphically on the parameter z), such that

$$(4.4) \quad \delta_\eta H_1^0 = \delta_{F(\zeta)} - \delta_{F(z)}, \quad \delta_\eta H_k^0 = H_{k-1}^0 \delta_{f(\zeta)} - \delta_{F(z)}(\delta_h)_{k-1}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

If we define

$$H^1 U = \sum_{k=1}^{\min(n+1, m-r+1)} (\delta_h)_{k-1} U_k,$$

and

$$H^0 R = \sum_{k=1}^{\min(n, m-r+1)} H_k^0 R_k.$$

it follows from (4.3) and (4.4) that $g' = (\delta_{F(z)} H^1 U + H^0 R) \wedge g$ is ∇_η -closed, and $g'_{0,0}(z) = 1$, and therefore we have, see [4],

Theorem 4.1. *If ϕ is holomorphic in X and g is the Cauchy form (4.2), then we have the holomorphic decomposition*

$$(4.5) \quad \phi(z) = \delta_{F(z)} \int H^1 U \wedge g \phi + \int H^0 R \wedge g \phi, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}.$$

In particular, $\Psi(z) = \int H^1 U \wedge g \phi$ is an explicit solution to $\delta_{F(z)} \Psi = \phi$ if $R\phi = 0$. We now consider this solution in more detail. In view of (2.2) and (2.3) we have, outside Z , that

$$(\delta_h)_{k-1} u_k = \sum_{|\alpha|=k-1} (\delta_{h_1})_{\alpha_1} \cdots (\delta_{h_r})_{\alpha_r} [\sigma_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \sigma_r \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma_1)^{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma_r)^{\alpha_r}] \otimes \epsilon^*.$$

Moreover, since we have the trivial metric,

$$\sigma_j = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_{ij} e_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, r,$$

are just the columns in the matrix $f^*(ff^*)^{-1}$. Suppressing the non-vanishing section ϵ^* , we have

Corollary 4.2. *Let f be a generically surjective holomorphic $r \times m$ -matrix in X with rows f_j , considered as sections of the trivial bundle E^* , and assume that the hypothesis of Theorem 1.4 is fulfilled. Then*

$$\psi(z) = \int H^1 U \wedge g \phi$$

is an explicit solution to $\delta_{F(z)} \psi(z) = \delta_{f_1(z)} \cdots \delta_{f_r(z)} \psi(z) = \phi(z)$ in $\mathbb{B}$, where $H^1 U \phi$ is the value at $\lambda = 0$ of (the analytic continuation of)

$$(4.6) \quad |f|^{2\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\min(n+1, m-r+1)} \sum_{|\alpha|=k-1} (\delta_{h_1})_{\alpha_1} \cdots (\delta_{h_r})_{\alpha_r} [\sigma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma_r \wedge (\bar{\partial} \sigma_1)^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge (\bar{\partial} \sigma_r)^{\alpha_r}] \phi.$$

If $m - r + 1 \leq n$, then $H^1 U \phi$ is locally integrable, and the value at $\lambda = 0$ exists in the ordinary sense.

Proof. It remains to verify the claim about the local integrability. In fact, after a resolution of singularities, cf., (2.5), it follows that $U_k \phi$ is locally integrable if $|\phi| \lesssim |F|^k$. If $m - r + 1 \leq n$, then the sum terminates at $k = m - r + 1$, and therefore the current is locally integrable; otherwise the worst term is like $U_{n+1} \phi$, and it will not be locally integrable in general. $\square$

If all the f_j take values in different bundles E_j^* and $E = \oplus E_j$, then we can simplify the expression for $H^1 U$ further. In this case, cf., Section 3,

$$\sigma_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m_j} \frac{\bar{f}_j^i}{|f_j|^2} e_{ij}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

Moreover, with natural choices of Hefer forms h_j , δ_{h_j} will vanish on forms with values in E_k for $k \neq j$, and hence we get

Corollary 4.3. *Let f_j be m_j -tuples of functions, considered as sections of the trivial bundles E_j^* over X . If the conditions of Theorem 1.2 or 1.3 are fulfilled, or if all f_j are equal to some fixed m -tuple f , and the condition in Theorem 1.1 is fulfilled, then*

$$\psi(z) = \int H^1 U \phi \wedge g$$

is an explicit solution to $\delta_{f_1(z)} \cdots \delta_{f_r(z)} \psi(z) = \phi(z)$ in $\mathbb{B}$, where $H^1 U \phi$ is the value at $\lambda = 0$ of (the analytic continuation of)

$$(4.7) \quad |f|^{2\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{|\alpha|=k-1} (\delta_{h_1})_{\alpha_1} [\sigma_1 \wedge (\bar{\partial} \sigma_1)^{\alpha_1}] \wedge \cdots \wedge (\delta_{h_r})_{\alpha_r} [\sigma_r \wedge (\bar{\partial} \sigma_r)^{\alpha_r}] \phi,$$

and $N = \min(n+1, m-r+1)$.

In the case of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, only terms such that $\alpha_j \leq m_j$ actually occur. In the case of Theorem 1.1 we have only terms such that $k \leq m$.

The division formulas discussed here are different from Berndtsson's classical formulas, [8]. As already mentioned, an explicit proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case $r = 1$, based on Berndtsson's division formula, already appeared in [7] (see the proof of Theorem 3.25), and the case with general r follows in essentially the same way, see, [15]. However, we see no way to prove any of the variations discussed in this paper by classical Berndtsson type formulas.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ANDERSSON: *Residue currents and ideals of holomorphic functions*, Bull. Sci. Math., **128**, (2004), 481–512.
- [2] M. ANDERSSON: *Residue currents of holomorphic sections and Lelong currents*, Arkiv för mat. (to appear).
- [3] M. ANDERSSON: *Residue currents of holomorphic morphisms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (to appear) .
- [4] M. ANDERSSON: *Integral representation with weights II*, Preprint Gothenburg (2005).
- [5] C. BERENSTEIN & A. YGER: *Green currents and analytic continuation*, J. Anal. Math. **75** (1998), 1–50.
- [6] C. BERENSTEIN & A. YGER: *Analytic residue theory in the non-complete intersection case*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **527** (2000), 203–235.
- [7] C. BERENSTEIN & R. GAY & A. VIDRAS & A. YGER: *Residue Currents and Bézout Identities*, Birkhäuser (1993).
- [8] B. BERNDTSSON: *A formula for division and interpolation*, Math. Ann. **263** (1983), 113–160.
- [9] J. BRIANÇON & H. SKODA: *Sur la clôture intégrale d'un idéal de germes de fonctions holomorphes en un point de $\mathbb{C}^n$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **278** (1974), 949–951.
- [10] J-P DEMAILLY: *Estimations L^2 pour l'opérateur $\bar{\partial}$ d'un fibré vectoriel holomorphe semi-positif au-dessus d'une variété kählérienne complète*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **15** (1982), 457–511.
- [11] J-P DEMAILLY: *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*, Monograph Grenoble (1997).
- [12] A. DICKENSTEIN & C. SESSA: *Canonical representatives in moderate cohomology*, Invent. Math. **80** (1985), 417–434..
- [13] L. EIN & R. LAZARSFELD: *A geometric effective Nullstellensatz*, Invent. Math. **137** (1999), 427–448.

- [14] M. ELKADI: *Une version effective du thorme de Brianon-Skoda dans le cas algébrique discret*, Acta Arith. **66** (1994), 201–220.
- [15] E. GÖTMARK: , Licentiate thesis Gothenburg (2005).
- [16] M. HOCHSTER & C. HUNEKE: *Comparison of symbolic and ordinary powers of ideals*, Invent. Math. **147** (2002), 349–369.
- [17] J. LIPMAN & B. TEISSIER: *Pseudorational local rings and a theorem of Briançon-Skoda about integral closures of ideals*, Michigan Math. J. **28** (1981), 97–116.
- [18] M. PASSARE: *Residues, currents, and their relation to ideals of holomorphic functions*, Math. Scand. **62** (1988), 75–152.
- [19] M. PASSARE & A. TSIKH & A. YGER: *Residue currents of the Bochner-Martinelli type*, Publ. Mat. **44** (2000), 85–117.
- [20] H. SKODA: *Application des techniques L^2 à la théorie des idéaux d'une algèbre de fonctions holomorphes avec poids*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **5** (1972), 545–579.
- [21] H. SKODA: *Morphismes surjectifs de fibrés vectoriels semi-positifs*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **11** (1978), 577–611.
- [22] B. TEISSIER: *Résultats récents d'algèbre commutative effective*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1989/90. Astérisque No. 189-190 (1990).
- [23] A. TSIKH & A. YGER: *Residue currents. Complex analysis*, J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) **120** (2004), 1916–1971.
- [24] E. WULCAN: *Products of residue currents of Cauchy-Fantappiè-Leray type*, Preprint Gothenburg (2004).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
 AND THE UNIVERSITY OF GÖTEBORG, S-412 96 GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN
E-mail address: matsa@math.chalmers.se